



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/782,902	02/23/2004	Max Stanford Tomlinson JR.	03191.000100	7510

5514 7590 12/17/2007
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA
NEW YORK, NY 10112

EXAMINER

COBANOGU, DILEK B

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3626

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

12/17/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/782,902	TOMLINSON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dilek B. Cobanoglu	3626	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Dilek B. Cobanoglu. (3) Michael O'Neill (Reg. No. 32, 622).
 (2) Robert Morgan (Primary Examiner A.U. 3626). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 December 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 10 and 13-15.


Identification of prior art discussed: Jamroga and Herzen.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


 ROBERT W. MORGAN
 PRIMARY EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's Representative and Examiners discussed about the proposed amendments to claim 1. Examiners suggested to the Applicant's Representative to include the information on paragraph 0069 to the claims and specify "forwarding access to the stored medical image data to an individual other than the payer" in the claims to better convey Applicant's invention. However, any amendment changing the scope of the invention and requiring further search and consideration, will not be entered after Final.

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO

650 Town Center Drive
Suite 1600
Costa Mesa, California 92626-7130
(714)540-8700

Facsimile:(714)540-9823

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

TO: Examiner Dilek Cobanoglu
Group Art Unit 3626

FROM: Michael K. O'Neill

RE: U.S. Application No. 10/782,902
Atty. Docket No. 03191.000100

FAX NO.: (571) 273-8295

DATE: December 12, 2007

NO. OF PAGES: 4
(including cover page)

TIME: 3:41

SENT BY: Jarm

MESSAGE

**IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL THE PAGES
PLEASE CALL 714-540-8700 AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.**

Note: We are transmitting from a Canon Model FAX-L770
(compatible with any Group I, Group II or Group III machine).

THIS FACSIMILE MESSAGE AND ACCOMPANYING DOCUMENTS ARE INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE ADDRESSEE INDICATED ABOVE. INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED OR OTHERWISE CONFIDENTIAL MAY BE CONTAINED THEREIN. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, REVIEW OR USE OF THIS MESSAGE, DOCUMENTS OR INFORMATION CONTAINED THEREIN IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS MESSAGE IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE OR FACSIMILE AND MAIL THE ORIGINAL TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS. THANK YOU.

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO

650 TOWN CENTER DRIVE, SUITE 1600
COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA 92626-7130

714-540-8700

NEW YORK OFFICE
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA
NEW YORK, NY 10112-3800
(212) 218-2100
FACSIMILE (212) 218-2200

FACSIMILE (714) 540-9823
WWW.FITZPATRICKCELLA.COM

WASHINGTON OFFICE
975 F STREET, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20004-1405
(202) 530-1010
FACSIMILE (202) 530-1055

MICHAEL K. O'NEILL
DIRECT DIAL (714) 540-0841
E-MAIL monellk@fchs.com

December 12, 2007

VIA FACSIMILE

Examiner Dilek Cobanoglu
USPTO
Group Art Unit 3626

Re: U.S. Application No. 10/782,902
Atty. Docket No. 03191.000100

Dear Ms. Cobanoglu:

Thank you for agreeing to conduct a telephone interview concerning the final rejection entered in the Office Action dated July 26, 2007, and confirmed in the Advisory Action dated November 28, 2007.

You asked for a written interview request and a brief agenda. In substitution for form PTOL-413A, the following information is provided:

Participants: Michael K. O'Neill, on behalf of Applicants

Dilek Cobanoglu and Robert Morgan on behalf of USPTO

Time: Thursday, December 13, 2007
2:00 p.m. (DC time; 11:00 a.m. CA time).

You indicated that you would telephone me at the agreed-upon time. My telephone number is (714) 540-8700.

As an agenda, please consider the attached claim amendment, which is intended to emphasize that there is shared access to digital medical image data by both a payer and an individual other than the payer, but to whom the payer has forwarded access. Such shared access is described in the specification at paragraph [0038] and paragraph

Examiner Dilek Cobanoglu
December 12, 2007
Page 2

[0069]. More details on the precise nature of the access forwarded to the other individual are the subject matter of dependent Claims 13 to 15.

In contrast to the above arrangement, the applied patents to Jamroga and Herzen both contemplate access by only a single individual at any one time. The PTO conceded this point with respect to Jamroga. With respect to Herzen, which concerns access to a financial instrument, only one single person has access to the financial instrument, and that individual is the payee and the payee alone.

It is also respectfully asserted that there is nothing in the applied art that addresses the more detailed aspects of the forwarded access, as recited in dependent Claims 13 through 15.

I look forward to our interview.

Respectfully submitted,



Michael K. O'Neill
Reg. No. 32,622

MKON:snf
Attachment: Claim Sheet

FCHS_WS 1834260v1

U.S. Application No. 10/782,902
Atty. Docket No. 03191.000100

CLAIM SHEET

1. (Currently Amended) A business method ~~for providing by which a payer and an individual other than the payer are both provided~~ with access to digital medical image data generated by up to a plurality of imaging facilities, the business method comprising the steps of:

receiving digital medical image data generated by the imaging facilities using a gateway at each imaging facility;

transmitting the received digital medical image data from the gateway to a central server via a network and storing the digital medical image data at the central server;

providing the payer with access to the stored digital medical image data via the network for a fee; and

forwarding access to the stored digital medical image data to ~~an~~ the individual other than the payer, wherein access is forwarded to the other individual by the payer, and wherein the other individual is thereafter granted access to the stored digital medical image data via the network.

FCHS_WS 1834283v1